2006 BUILDING CODE COMPENDIUM

2006 BUILDING CODE CHANGE REQUEST FORM

CONTACT INFORMATION:

		ormation on this form with the Canadian Commission on Building and Fire Codes and or the purposes of code development? ☑ YES □ NO
I am submitting this on behalf of:		 ☐ Myself, or ☑ Organization: City of Toronto
Your Title:	Chief Building O	fficial and Executive Director
Your Name:	Ann Borooah	
Address:	City Hall, 100 Queen Street West, 12 th Floor, East Tower	
City:	Toronto	
Province:	Ontario	
Postal Code:	M5H 2N2	
Telephone:	(416) 397-4447	
Facsimile:	(416) 397-4383	
Email:	aborooa@toronto.ca	
Your function: (if submitting on b	behalf of yourself)	□ Builder / Contractor □ Building Official □ Building Owner / Manager □ Designer / Architect / Engineer □ Home Owner / General Public □ Supplier / Manufacturer □ Other:
CODE CHANGE	E REQUEST:	
☑ To an existing code provision:		Division B, Part 9, Section 9.21, Subsection 9.21.4., Sentence 9.21.4.4.(1)
	eference of the Requ 1, Part, Section, Subs	nested Change: section, Article, Sentence, etc.eg: Div. B, 9.32.3.5.(1)
☐ Add a new cod	le provision	
	ed this change to the uilding or Plumbing	e Canadian Commission on Building and Fire Codes as a proposed amendment to the Codes? ☐ YES ☐ NO
for the purpose of address:	code development.	form is collected under the authority of the Building Code Act, 1992 and will be used Please direct any questions about the collection of information by mail to the following nt, Legislation and Appeals
	and Development	

777 Bay Street 2nd Fl., Toronto, Ontario M5G 2E5

telephone: (416) 585-6666 or by facsimile at: (416) 585-7531

2006 BUILDING CODE COMPENDIUM



REQUESTED CHANGE/ADDITION: What wording do you propose for the change?	Wording is not proposed, but a revised sentence, 9.21.4.4(1), should capture what is stated in the explanation below.
PROBLEM: Why should the existing provision be revised? If requesting an addition to the Code, what is missing?	Sentence 9.21.4.4.(1) applies only to the property where the construction is taking place. The impact that the resulting building, even when constructed in accordance with the Building Code, could have on the chimney of an existing adjacent building is not considered.
JUSTIFICATION/EXPLANATION: How does the requested change address the problem?	An amendment to sentence 9.21.4.4(1) could take into account the location and height of chimneys, both existing and proposed, to all adjacent buildings – similar to the CSA B149.1-05 Natural Gas and Propane Installation Code. Additionally, a new provision could be created to allow neighbouring properties to enter into agreements, similar to the limiting distance agreements described in Division B, Sentence 9,10.14.2.(4) of the Building Code, regarding separation distances required between adjacent buildings for unprotected openings.
OBJECTIVE(S): Which of the Code's objectives does the requested change address? See Part 2 of Division A of the Building Code for the list of objectives.	OH3.4 An objective of this Code is to limit the probability that, as a result of the design or construction of a building, a person in or adjacent to the building will be exposed to an unacceptable risk of injury due to hazards caused by exposure to hazardous substances. Also OP3.1 and OE could be considered. OH1.1 would have to be taken into account for the adjacent building affected by the construction.
COST/BENEFIT IMPLICATIONS: Will the change entail any added costs? Will it provide benefits that are measurable?	There are no cost implications directly associated with this proposed change as compliance is already required under the <i>Natural Gas and Propane Installation Code</i> . However, amending this provision will reduce the unanticipated financial impacts on homeowners of surrounding infill projects who may be required to relocate their existing chimney or install a high efficiency furnace as a result of the requirements of the <i>Natural Gas and Propane Installation Code</i> .
ENFORCEMENT IMPLICATIONS: Can the requested change/addition be enforced by the infrastructure available to enforce this Code? Will its enforcement require an increase in resources?	The requested amendment would be enforceable under the existing infrastructure and would not require an increase in resources. The requested change will reduce the number of complaints and issues that have been created with respect to chimney installations and construction of infill residential buildings on adjacent properties. If the proposed amendments are made to the Building Code, the enforcement activity associated with these type of complaints will be eliminated, resulting in a reduction of resources required.
OTHER COMMENTS: For example, identify other Code requirements affected by the requested change, etc.	While there are no Building Code requirements affected by the proposed change, TSSA enforces Section 814 of the <i>Natural Gas and Propane Installation Code</i> - which regulates chimney height above buildings. TSSA's interprets this to apply to all buildings surrounding the chimney installation, whether located on the same property or an adjacent property. Due to construction taking place on adjacent property, and TSSA's enforcement of this standard, people may have their furnaces shut off until they either relocate their existing chimney or install a high efficiency furnace.
ATTACHED SUPPORTING MATERIAL:	City of Toronto Staff Report dated May 16, 2007. "Adverse Effects Caused by the Issuance of Building Permits on Adjacent Buildings" adopted by Toronto City Council in May, 2007
	http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/pg/bgrd/backgroundfile-3919.pdf